

Connection Between ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ and ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 Neutrino States and Time Dependence of Neutrino Wave Functions and Transition Probabilities at Three Neutrino Oscillations in Vacuum

Beshtoev Kh. M. (beshtoev@cv.jinr.ru)

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Joliot Curie 6, 141980 Dubna,
Moscow region Russia and Scientific Research Institute of Applied
Mathematics and Automation KBSC of RAS, Nalchik, Russia.

Abstract

For description of the d, s, b quark mixings the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrices are used but they do not contain the time dependence. In this work the analogous matrix is obtained for the case of three neutrino (ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ) mixings (oscillations) in vacuum in the general case, when CP violation is absent. In contrast to the quark case this matrix contains the time dependence. The matrix for probability of neutrino transitions (oscillations) in vacuum is also obtained. Naturally, it contains the time dependence. The matrix which does not contain the time dependence is obtained by using time t averaging of this matrix. Elements of this matrix can be used to describe neutrino decays.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq; 14.60.Lm

1 Introduction

At present, existence of three families of leptons and quarks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} u & c & t \\ d & s & b \end{array}, \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nu_e & \nu_\mu & \nu_\tau \\ e & \mu & \tau \end{array} \quad (2)$$

is established [1]. In the framework of the standard model of weak interactions [2], i. e. at W , Z^0 boson exchanges, transitions between different

families of leptons or quarks with flavor number violations do not take place. In the quark sector, mixings between d, s, b quarks (i. e. transitions between different families of quarks) are described by Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa matrices V_q [3]

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = V_q \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

which are parameterized by 4 parameters, three angle $\theta_q, \beta_q, \gamma_q$ of rotation and one parameter of CP violation δ'_q .

$$V_q = \begin{pmatrix} c'_1 & s'_1 c'_3 & s'_1 s'_3 \\ -s'_1 c'_2 & c'_1 c'_2 c'_3 - s'_2 s'_3 \exp(i\delta'_q) & c'_1 c'_2 s'_3 + s'_2 s'_3 \exp(i\delta'_q) \\ s'_1 s'_2 & -c'_1 s'_2 c'_3 - c'_2 s'_3 \exp(i\delta'_q) & -c'_1 s'_2 s'_3 + c'_2 c'_3 \exp(i\delta'_q) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c'_1 &= \cos \theta_q, & s'_1 &= \sin \theta_q, & c'^2_1 + s'^2_1 &= 1; \\ c'_2 &= \cos \beta_q, & s'_2 &= \sin \beta_q, & c'^2_2 + s'^2_2 &= 1; \\ c'_3 &= \cos \gamma_q, & s'_3 &= \sin \gamma_q, & c'^2_3 + s'^2_3 &= 1; \\ \exp(i\delta'_q) &= \cos \delta'_q + i \sin \delta'_q. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

It is especially necessary to remark, that d', s', b' quarks are superpositions of d, s, b quarks which are eigenstates of strong interactions.

The charged current in the standard model of weak interactions for three quark families has the following form [2]:

$$j^\alpha = (\bar{u}\bar{c}\bar{t})_L \gamma^\alpha V \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad (6)$$

and then the interaction Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} j^\alpha W^+_\alpha + \text{h.c.} \quad (7)$$

From expression (7) we see that quarks are produced in the weak interactions in superposition states of d, s, b quark states - eigenstates of the strong interactions. And there is no time dependence there. It is necessary

to remark that this supposition requires to be commented. This necessity is related with the fact that the quarks are produced in the strong interactions and then for presence of the weak interactions which violate flavor numbers, they are transformed into superpositions of the strong interactions eigenstates. The problem is: can the weak interactions violate flavor numbers at producing quarks by the strong interactions? If that's the case, then quarks will be produced in superposition states and there must be no time dependence otherwise there must be a time dependence [4].

The suggestion that, in analogy with $K^0, \bar{K}^0$ oscillations, there could be neutrino-antineutrino oscillations ($\nu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}$) was considered by Pontecorvo [5] in 1957. It was subsequently considered by Maki et al. [6] and Pontecorvo [7] that there could be mixings (and oscillations) of neutrinos of different flavors (i.e., $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ transitions).

Below we consider the connection between ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ and ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 neutrino states and time dependence of neutrino wave functions and transition probabilities at three neutrino oscillations in vacuum.

2 Connection Between ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ and ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 Neutrino States and Time Dependence of Neutrino Wave Functions and Transition Probabilities at Three Neutrino Oscillations in Vacuum

At first we consider the connection between ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ and ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 neutrino states at three neutrino transitions in vacuum and then come to computation of neutrino wave functions and transition probabilities. The case when the CP violation is absent will be discussed.

2.1 Connection Between ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ and ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 Neutrino States at Three Neutrino Transitions in Vacuum

We can connect the wave functions of physical neutrino states $\Psi_{\nu_e}, \Psi_{\nu_\mu}, \Psi_{\nu_\tau}$ with the wave functions of intermediate neutrino states $\Psi_{\nu_1}, \Psi_{\nu_2}, \Psi_{\nu_3}$ and

then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{pmatrix} = V \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \quad (8)$$

or

$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\nu_e} \\ \Psi_{\nu_\mu} \\ \Psi_{\nu_\tau} \end{pmatrix} = V \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\nu_1} \\ \Psi_{\nu_2} \\ \Psi_{\nu_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where the neutrino mixing matrix V can be given [8, 9] in the following convenient form proposed by Maiani [10] ($\theta = \theta_{12}, \beta = \theta_{13}, \gamma = \theta_{23}$):

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_\gamma & s_\gamma \\ 0 & -s_\gamma & c_\gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_\beta & 0 & s_\beta \exp(-i\delta) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_\beta \exp(i\delta) & 0 & c_\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_\theta & s_\theta & 0 \\ -s_\theta & c_\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_{e\mu} &= \cos \theta, & s_{e\mu} &= \sin \theta, & c_{e\mu}^2 + s_{e\mu}^2 &= 1; \\ c_{e\tau} &= \cos \beta, & s_{e\tau} &= \sin \beta, & c_{e\tau}^2 + s_{e\tau}^2 &= 1; \\ c_{\mu\tau} &= \cos \gamma, & s_{\mu\tau} &= \sin \gamma, & c_{\mu\tau}^2 + s_{\mu\tau}^2 &= 1; \\ \exp(i\delta) &= \cos \delta + i \sin \delta, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

then ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ neutrino states are transformed into superpositions of ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 neutrino states. And then the charged current in the standard model of weak interactions [2] for three lepton families gets the following form [2]:

$$j^\alpha = (\bar{e}\bar{\mu}\bar{\tau})_L \gamma^\alpha V \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix}_L, \quad (12)$$

and the interaction Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} j^\alpha W_\alpha^+ + \text{h.c.} \quad (13)$$

Using the above matrix V , we can write down it in a component-wise form [8, 9]:

$$\Psi_{\nu_l} = \sum_{k=1}^3 V_{\nu_l \nu_k} \Psi_{\nu_k},$$

$$\Psi_{\nu_k} = \sum_{l=1}^3 V_{\nu_k \nu_l}^* \Psi_{\nu_l}, \quad l = e, \mu, \tau, \quad k = 1 \div 3, \quad (14)$$

where Ψ_{ν_k} is a wave function of neutrino with momentum p and mass m_k . If to separate time dependence of neutrino wave functions then we get

$$\Psi_{\nu_k}(t) = e^{-iE_k t} \Psi_{\nu_k}(0), \quad (15)$$

and

$$\Psi_{\nu_l}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^3 e^{-iE_k t} V_{\nu_l \nu_k} \Psi_{\nu_k}(0). \quad (16)$$

Using unitarity of matrix V or expression (14) we can rewrite expression (16) in the following form:

$$\Psi_{\nu_l}(t) = \sum_{l'=e,\mu,\tau} \sum_{k=1}^3 V_{\nu_l \nu_k} e^{-iE_k t} V_{\nu_l' \nu_k}^* \Psi_{\nu_{l'}}(0), \quad (17)$$

and introducing symbol $b_{\nu_l \nu_{l'}}(t)$

$$b_{\nu_l \nu_{l'}}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^3 V_{\nu_l \nu_k} e^{-iE_k t} V_{\nu_{l'} \nu_k}^*, \quad (18)$$

we obtain

$$\Psi_{\nu_l}(t) = \sum_{l'=e,\mu,\tau} b_{\nu_l \nu_{l'}}(t) \Psi_{\nu_{l'}}(0), \quad (19)$$

where $b_{\nu_l \nu_{l'}}(t)$ -is the amplitude of transition probability $\Psi_{\nu_l} \rightarrow \Psi_{\nu_{l'}}$.

And the corresponding transition probability $\Psi_{\nu_l} \rightarrow \Psi_{\nu_{l'}}$ is:

$$P_{\nu_l \nu_{l'}}(t) = \left| \sum_{k=1}^3 V_{\nu_{l'} \nu_k}^* e^{-iE_k t} V_{\nu_l \nu_k} \right|^2. \quad (20)$$

It is obvious that

$$\sum_{l'=e,\mu,\tau} P_{\nu_{l'} \nu_l}(t) = 1. \quad (21)$$

Now we will come to computation of neutrino wave functions $\Psi_{\nu_e}, \Psi_{\nu_\mu}, \Psi_{\nu_\tau}$ and a probability of transitions (oscillations) of these neutrinos.

2.2 Expressions for Neutrino Wave Functions and Probability of $\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ Transitions (Oscillations) without CP Violation in Vacuum

If not to take CP violation (i.e., $\delta = 0$) into account and use expressions (8-14), (14-21), then we obtain the following expressions for the amplitude of neutrino transitions:

1. If primary neutrinos are ν_e neutrinos, then for this neutrino wave function for $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e$, $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$, and $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ transitions we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) = & [\cos^2(\beta)\cos^2(\theta)\exp(-iE_1t) + \\ & + \cos^2(\beta)\sin^2(\theta)\exp(-iE_2t) + \\ & + \sin^2(\beta)\exp(-iE_3t)]\Psi_{\nu_e}(0) + \\ & + [\cos(\beta)\cos(\theta)\exp(-iE_1t)(-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \\ & - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta)) + \cos(\beta)\sin(\theta)\exp(-iE_2t)(-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \\ & + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta)) + \sin(\beta)\exp(-iE_3t)\sin(\gamma)\cos(\beta)]\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0) \\ & + [\cos(\beta)\cos(\theta)\exp(-iE_1t)(-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)) + \\ & + \cos(\beta)\sin(\theta)\exp(-iE_2t)(-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)) + \\ & + \sin(\beta)\exp(-iE_3t)\cos(\gamma)\cos(\beta)]\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Expression (22) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\Psi_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) = b_{\nu_e \nu_e}(t)\Psi_{\nu_e}(0) + b_{\nu_e \nu_\mu}(t)\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0) + b_{\nu_e \nu_\tau}(t)\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0), \quad (22')$$

where $b_{...}$ are coefficients before neutrino wave functions.

1.1. Probability of $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e$ neutrino transitions obtained from exp. (22) is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e}(t) = & 1 - \cos^4(\beta)\sin^2(2\theta)\sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_2)/2) - \\ & \cos^2(\theta)\sin^2(2\beta)\sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_3)/2) - \\ & - \sin^2(\theta)\sin^2(2\beta)\sin^2(-t(E_2 - E_3)/2). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

1.2. Probability of $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ neutrino transitions obtained from exp. (22) is given by the following expression:

$$P_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu}(t) = 4\cos^2(\beta)\cos(\theta)\sin(\theta)[- \sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta)]\sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_2)/2) - \quad (24) \\
& + 4\cos^2(\beta)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta)\sin(\gamma)[\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta)] \\
& \cdot \sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_3)/2) - 4\cos^2(\beta)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta)\sin(\gamma)[- \sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \\
& + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]\sin^2(-t(E_2 - E_3)/2).
\end{aligned}$$

1.3. Probability of $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ neutrino transitions obtained from exp. (22) is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau}(t) = & 4\cos^2(\beta)\cos(\theta)\sin(\theta)[- \cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \quad (25) \\
& + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)][\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]\sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_2)/2) - \\
& - 4\cos^2(\beta)\cos(\theta)\sin(\beta)\cos(\gamma)[- \cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)] \\
& \cdot \sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_3)/2) + 4\cos^2(\beta)\sin(\theta)\sin(\beta)\cos(\gamma)[\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \\
& + \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]\sin^2(-t(E_2 - E_3)/2).
\end{aligned}$$

The check has confirmed that $P_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e}(t) + P_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu}(t) + P_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau}(t) = 1$.

2. For the case of $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ transitions we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) = & [\cos(\beta)\cos(\theta)\exp(-iE_1t) \\
& (-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta)) + \\
& + \cos(\beta)\sin(\theta)\exp(-iE_2t)(-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta)) + \\
& + \sin(\beta)\exp(-iE_3t)\sin(\gamma)\cos(\beta)]\Psi_{\nu_e}(0) + \\
& + [(-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta))^2\exp(-iE_1t) + \\
& + (-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta))^2\exp(-iE_2t) + \\
& + \sin^2(\gamma)\cos^2(\beta)\exp(-iE_3t)]\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0) + \\
& [(-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta))\exp(-iE_1t) \\
& (-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)) + \\
& + (-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta))\exp(-iE_2t) \quad (26) \\
& (-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)) + \sin(\gamma)\cos^2(\beta) \\
& \exp(-iE_3t)\cos(\gamma)]\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Expression (26) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\Psi_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) = b_{\nu_\mu \nu_e}(t)\Psi_{\nu_e}(0) + b_{\nu_\mu \nu_\mu}(t)\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0) + b_{\nu_\mu \nu_\tau}(t)\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0), \quad (26')$$

where $b_{\dots}$ are coefficients before neutrino wave functions.

2.1. Probability of $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ neutrino transitions obtained from exp. (26) is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu}(t) = & 1 - 4[-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta)]^2 \\ & [-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]^2 \sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_2)/2) \\ & - 4[-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta)]^2 \sin^2(\gamma)\cos^2(\beta) \\ & \sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_3)/2) \\ & - 4[-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]^2 \sin^2(\gamma) \\ & \cos^2(\beta)\sin^2(-t(E_2 - E_3)/2). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

2.2. Probability of $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ neutrino transitions obtained from exp. (26) is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e}(t) = & -4[-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta)]\cos^2(\beta)\cos(\theta) \\ & [-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]\sin(\theta)\sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_2)/2) \\ & - 4[-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta)]\cos^2(\beta) \\ & \cos(\theta)\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_3)/2) \\ & - 4[-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]\cos^2(\beta)\sin(\theta)\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta) \\ & \sin^2(-t(E_2 - E_3)/2). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

2.3. Probability of $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ neutrino transitions obtained from exp. (26) is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau}(t) = & -4[-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta)] \\ & [-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)][-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) \\ & + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta)][-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]\sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_2)/2) \\ & - 4[-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta)] \\ & [-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)]\sin(\gamma)\cos^2(\beta)\cos(\gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_3)/2) \\
& -4[-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta)] \\
& [-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]\sin(\gamma)\cos^2(\beta)\cos(\gamma) \\
& \sin^2(-t(E_2 - E_3)/2).
\end{aligned}$$

The check has confirmed that $P_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e}(t) + P_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu}(t) + P_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau}(t) = 1$.

3. For the case of $\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$ transitions we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) = & [\cos(\beta)\cos(\theta)\exp(-iE_1t) \\
& (-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)) + \cos(\beta)\sin(\theta)\exp(-iE_2t) \\
& (-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)) + \\
& + \sin(\beta)\exp(-iE_3t)\cos(\gamma)\cos(\beta)]\Psi_{\nu_e}(0) + \\
& + [(-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta))\exp(-iE_1t) \\
& (-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)) + (-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \\
& + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta))\exp(-iE_2t)(-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)) + \\
& + \sin(\gamma)\cos^2(\beta)\exp(-iE_3t)\cos(\gamma)]\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0) + \quad (30) \\
& + [(-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta))^2\exp(-iE_1t) + \\
& + (-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta))^2\exp(-iE_2t) + \\
& + \cos^2(\gamma)\cos^2(\beta)\exp(-iE_3t)]\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0).
\end{aligned}$$

Expression (30) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$\Psi_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) = b_{\nu_\tau \nu_e}(t)\Psi_{\nu_e}(0) + b_{\nu_\tau \nu_\mu}(t)\Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0) + b_{\nu_\tau \nu_\tau}(t)\Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0), \quad (30')$$

where $b_{\dots}$ are coefficients before neutrino wave functions.

3.1. Probability of $\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ neutrino transitions obtained from exp. (30) is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_\tau}(t) = & 1 - 4[-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)]^2 \\
& [-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]^2 \sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_2)/2) \\
& - 4[-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)]^2 \cos^2(\gamma)\cos^2(\beta)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_3)/2) \\
& -4[-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]^2\cos^2(\gamma)\cos^2(\beta) \\
& \sin^2(-t(E_2 - E_3)/2).
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

3.2. Probability of $\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e$ neutrino transitions obtained from exp. (30) is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e}(t) = & -4[-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)] \\
& \cos^2(\beta)\cos(\theta)[- \cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]\sin(\theta) \\
& \sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_2)/2) \\
& -4[-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)]\cos^2(\beta)\cos(\theta) \\
& \cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_3)/2) \\
& -4[-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]\cos^2(\beta)\sin(\theta)\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta) \\
& \sin^2(-t(E_2 - E_3)/2).
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

3.3. Probability of $\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_\mu$ neutrino transitions obtained from exp. (30) is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_\mu}(t) = & -4[-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)] \\
& [-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta)] \\
& [-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)] \\
& [-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta)]\sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_2)/2) \\
& -4[-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) + \sin(\gamma)\sin(\theta)] \\
& [-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\cos(\theta) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\theta)]\cos(\gamma)\cos^2(\beta)\sin(\gamma) \\
& \sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_3)/2) \\
& -4[-\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) - \sin(\gamma)\cos(\theta)] \\
& [-\sin(\gamma)\sin(\beta)\sin(\theta) + \cos(\gamma)\cos(\theta)] \\
& \cos(\gamma)\cos^2(\beta)\sin(\gamma)\sin^2(-t(E_2 - E_3)/2).
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The check has confirmed that $P_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e}(t) + P_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_\mu}(t) + P_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_\tau}(t) = 1$.

We can rewrite expressions (22'), (26'), (30') for three neutrinos wave functions in the following compact form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) \\ \Psi_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) \\ \Psi_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{\nu_e \nu_e}(t) & b_{\nu_e \nu_\mu}(t) & b_{\nu_e \nu_\tau}(t) \\ b_{\nu_\mu \nu_e}(t) & b_{\nu_\mu \nu_\mu}(t) & b_{\nu_\mu \nu_\tau}(t) \\ b_{\nu_\tau \nu_e}(t) & b_{\nu_\tau \nu_\mu}(t) & b_{\nu_\tau \nu_\tau}(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\nu_e}(0) \\ \Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0) \\ \Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

Usually matrix V (8) which connects the ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ neutrino states with the ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 is named Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa mixing matrix type for neutrinos. It is necessary to remark that in reality this matrix is matrix $V(t)$ from expression (34)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) \\ \Psi_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) \\ \Psi_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau}(t) \end{pmatrix} = V(t) \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\nu_e}(0) \\ \Psi_{\nu_\mu}(0) \\ \Psi_{\nu_\tau}(0) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (35)$$

where

$$V(t) = \begin{pmatrix} b_{\nu_e \nu_e}(t) & b_{\nu_e \nu_\mu}(t) & b_{\nu_e \nu_\tau}(t) \\ b_{\nu_\mu \nu_e}(t) & b_{\nu_\mu \nu_\mu}(t) & b_{\nu_\mu \nu_\tau}(t) \\ b_{\nu_\tau \nu_e}(t) & b_{\nu_\tau \nu_\mu}(t) & b_{\nu_\tau \nu_\tau}(t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

We see that in the case of neutrinos mixing matrix $V(t)$ is a function of time t . The analogous expression (3) for quarks mixing matrix V_q does not contain the time dependence. And at $t = 0$ this mixing matrix, in contrast to the quark case (see (30)), has the following diagonal form:

$$V(t=0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (37)$$

We can also introduce matrix $V_{prob}(t)$ probabilities of three neutrino transitions (oscillations) in dependence on time and write three neutrino transition probabilities in the following compact form:

$$V_{prob}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} P_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e}(t) & P_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu}(t) & P_{\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau}(t) \\ P_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e}(t) & P_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\mu}(t) & P_{\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau}(t) \\ P_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_e}(t) & P_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_\mu}(t) & P_{\nu_\tau \rightarrow \nu_\tau}(t) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (38)$$

If to average $V(t)$ on time t in expression (38), then we obtain matrix

$$V = \overline{V(t)}, \quad (39)$$

which has no time dependence. For this purpose it is necessary in expressions (23-25), (27-29), (31-33) for $P_{\nu_l \rightarrow \nu_{l'}}(t)$ fulfill the following replacements:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_2)/2)} &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ \overline{\sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_3)/2)} &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ \overline{\sin^2(-t(E_1 - E_3)/2)} &= \frac{1}{2}.\end{aligned}\tag{40}$$

Elements of matrix (39) can be used for description of neutrino decays.

3 Conclusion

The Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrices [3] are used to describe the d, s, b quark mixings and these matrices do not contain the time dependence. In this work the analogous matrix is obtained for the case of three neutrino (ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ) mixings (oscillations) in vacuum in the general case, when CP violation is absent. In contrast to the quark case this matrix contains the time dependence. The matrix for probability of neutrino transitions (oscillations) in vacuum is also obtained. Naturally, it contains the time dependence. The matrix which does not contain the time dependence is obtained by using the time t averaging of this matrix. Elements of this matrix can be used to describe neutrino decays.

References

1. Review of Part. Prop., Phys. Rev. D. 2002. V.66, No. 1. P. 010001.
2. S. L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. 1961. V. 22. P. 579;
S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1967. V. 19, P. 1264;
A. Salam, Proc. of the 8th Nobel Symp., Ed. N. Svarthholm. Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1968. P. 367.
3. N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1963. V. 10. P. 531;
M. Kobayashi, K. Maskawa K., Prog. Theor. Phys. 1973. V. 49. P. 652.

4. Kh. M. Beshtoev, JINR Communication E2-2004-182,
Dubna, 2004; hep-ph/0503157;
5. B. M. Pontecorvo , Soviet Journ. JETP, 33 (1957) 549;
JETP, 34 (1958) 247.
6. Z. Maki et al., Prog.Theor. Phys., 28 (1962) 870.
7. B. M. Pontecorvo, Soviet Journ. JETP, 53 (1967) 1717.
8. S.M. Bilenky, B.M. Pontecorvo, Phys. Rep., C41 (1978) 225;
F. Boehm, P. Vogel, Physics of Massive Neutrinos: Cambridge
Univ. Press, 1987, p.27, p.121;
S. M. Bilenky, S. P. Petcov, Rev. of Mod. Phys., 59 (1977) 631.
V. Gribov, B. M. Pontecorvo, Phys. Lett. B, 28 (1969) 493.
9. Kh. M. Beshtoev, JINR Communication E2-2004-58, Dubna, 2004;
hep-ph/0406124; JINR Communication E2-2005-123, Dubna, 2005,
hep-ph/0506248.
10. L. Maiani, Proc. Intern. Symp. on Lepton–Photon Interaction,
DESY, Hamburg. P.867, 1977.